Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/995,931	YU, PHILIP K.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Srirama Channavajjala	2166	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Srirama Channavajjala</u> .	(3)	į	
(2) Philip K Yu, Reg. No. 35,742.	(4)	•	
Date of Interview: <u>17 March 2006</u>	Time: <u>11 AM</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	·	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
		`	
Claims discussed:			
19-25,27-30			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.		•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.		· .	
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
		•	
	•		
	:	<u>-</u>	
an			
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

A telephone was made to applicant's representative Attorney, Philip K Yu, Reg. No. 35,742 about the potential amendment to the claims, drawing fig 3-4, steps 303, 306; steps 403 and step 407; and specification [U.S.Publication: 2002/0065808 A1] paragraphs 0058.1 and 0058.2 as filed on 14 March 2006 in order to allow the case.

The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment.